

LaSalle Sation

2601 North 21st Road Marseilles, IL 61341

815 415 2000 www.exeloncorp.com

10 CFR 50.73

RA14-042

October 3, 2014

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ATTN: Document Control Desk Washington, DC 20555-0001

LaSalle County Station, Unit 2

Facility Operating License No. NPF-18

NRC Docket No. 50-374

Subject:

Licensee Event Report 2014-001-00 Reactor Scram Due to Main Steam

Isolation Valve Stem-Disk Separation

In accordance with 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(iv)(A), Exelon Generation Company (EGC), LLC, is submitting Licensee Event Report Number 2014-001-00 for LaSalle County Station Unit 2.

There are no regulatory commitments in this letter. Should you have any questions concerning this report, please contact Mr. Guy V. Ford, Regulatory Assurance Manager, at (815) 415-2800.

Respectfully,

Harold T. Vinyard Plant Manager

LaSalle County Station

Enclosure:

Licensee Event Report

cc:

Regional Administrator - NRC Region III

NRC Senior Resident Inspector - LaSalle County Station

NRC FO	RM 366			U.S. NUC	LEAR REG	ULATORY	COMMIS	SION	APP	RO	VED BY OMB: NO	. 3150-010	4		EXPI	RES:	01/31/2017	
(See Page 2 for required number of digits/characters for each block)										Estimated burden per response to comply with this mandatory collection request: 80 hour Reported lessons learned are incorporated into the licensing process and fed back to indust Send comments regarding burden estimate to the FOIA, Privacy and Information Collection Branch (T-5 F53), U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, or linternet e-mail to Infocollects.Resource@nrc.gov, and to the Desk Officer, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, NEOB-10202, (3150-0104), Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503. If a means used to impose an information collection does not display a currently valid OM control number, the NRC may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to the information collection.								
	1. FACILITY NAME LaSalle County Station, Unit 2										2. DOCKET NUMBER 3. PAGE 05000374					3		
		Journey 3	olaliOH	, OIIII Z							05000574			'	———	3		
4. TITLE Rea		Scram D	ue to	Main S	team Isc	olation \	√alve S	tem-	-Disl	k S	Separation							
5. EVENT DATE 6. LER NUMBER 7. REPORT DA															LVEC	VED		
MONTH	MONTH DAY YEAR		YEAR SEQUENTIAL REV NUMBER NO.		MONTH DAY		YE	YEAR		FACILITY NAME N/A			DOC		CKET NUMBER N/A			
08	05	2014	2014 - 001 - 00			10	03	20)14	FA	ACILITY NAME N/A			DOCKET NUME N/A				
9. OPE	RATIN	G MODE	11	. THIS RI	PORT IS	SUBMITTI	ED PURS	UANT	тот	THE	REQUIREMEN	TS OF 10	CFR	§: (Checl	k all t	hat a	pply)	
			2	0.2201(b)		20.2203(a)(3)(i)				50.73(a)(2)(i)(C)			50.73(a)(2)(vii)					
1			20.2201(d)			20.2203(a)(3)(ii))		50.73(a)(2)(ii)(A)			50.73(a)(2)(viii)(A)				
			20.2203(a)(1)			20.2203(a)(4)					50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B)			50,73(a)(2)(viii)(B)				
			<u> </u>	0.2203(a)		50.36(c)(1)(i)(A)				50.73(a)(2)(iii)			50.73(a)(2)(ix)(A)					
10. POW	10. POWER LEVEL			0.2203(a)	(2)(ii)	50.36(c)(1)(ii)(A))		50.73(a)(2)(iv)(A)			50.73(a)(2)(x)				
100			2	0.2203(a)	(2)(iii)	50.36(c)(2)					50.73(a)(2)(v)(A)			73.71(a)(4)				
			2	0.2203(a)	(2)(iv)	50.46(a)(3)(ii)					50.73(a)(2)(v)(B)			73.71(a)(5)				
			<u> </u>	0.2203(a)	(2)(v)		50.73(a)(2)(i)(A)				50.73(a)(2)(v)(C)			☐ OTHER				
			2	0.2203(a)	(2)(vi)		50.73(a)(2)(i)(B)			50.73(a)(2)(v)(D)				Specify in Abstract below or in NRC Form 366A			elow or in	
						12. LICEN	SEE CON	TAC	Γ FOR	₹ TI	HIS LER							
LICENSEE BIII F					ın Engine				***************************************			3)	315)	ONE NUMBER 415-391	•	de Are	a Code)	
			13. COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR				DEPORTABLE				T T		L MANUE		. 1	REPORTABLE		
	CAUSE SYSTEM		+		FACTURE	R TO	DEPIX	-	CAUSE	:	SYSTEM	COMPON	ENI	FACTURE			OEPIX	
Е		SB		SV	R344		Υ											
14. SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED ☐ YES (If yes, complete 15. EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE)								10				PECTED MISSION		MONTH	DA	<u> </u>	YEAR	
		·····			tely 15 single			-	***************************************	******	<u> </u>	ATE						
On neu not	Auguutron ted tha	ist 5, 201 flux, follo	4, at a wed by sition ir	pproxim a Groundication	ately 173 p I conta n for valve	34 hours inment i	CDT, U solation.	nit 2 Fo	llowir	ng	natically scram the Group I is 2C Main Stea	solation,	the	control re	oom	ope	rators	

Troubleshooting of the 2C MSIV determined that the valve stem disk had separated from the stem, which allowed the main disk to drop into the main steam flow path. The resulting reactor pressure transient added positive reactivity, which caused the high neutron flux scram. Increased steam flow in the other three main steam lines resulted in a nearly simultaneous high main steam line flow Group I containment isolation.

The cause of the stem-disk separation on the 2C MSIV was fretting wear attributable to marginal design. The root cause of the event was a legacy decision made in 2008 deferring installation of a manufacturer upgrade that would have prevented the failure. Corrective actions include installing the upgrade on all MSIVs on both units, and reviewing previous deferral decisions made using the same decision-making process.

NRC FORM 366A

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

APPROVED BY OMB: NO. 3150-0104

EXPIRES: 01/31/2017

, a neo...

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) CONTINUATION SHEET

Estimated burden per response to comply with this mandatory collection request: 80 hours. Reported lessons learned are incorporated into the licensing process and fed back to industry. Send comments regarding burden estimate to the FOIA, Privacy and Information Collections Branch (T-5 F53), U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, or by internet e-mail to Infocollects.Resource@nrc.gov, and to the Desk Officer, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, NEOB-10202, (3150-0104), Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503. If a means used to impose an information collection does not display a currently valid OMB control number, the NRC may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, the information collection.

1. FACILITY NAME	2. DOCKET	6	6. LER NUMBER	3. PAGE			
LaCalla Caunty Station Unit 9	05000274	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REV NO.		OF	0
LaSalle County Station, Unit 2	05000374	2014	- 001 -	00	2		ა

NARRATIVE

LaSalle County Station Unit 2 is a General Electric Company Boiling Water Reactor with 3546 Megawatts Rated Core Thermal Power.

A. CONDITION PRIOR TO EVENT:

Unit(s): 2 Reactor Mode(s): 1 Event Date: August 5, 2014

Mode(s) Name: Power Operation

Event Time: 1734 CDT

Power Level: 100%

B. DESCRIPTION OF EVENT:

On August 5, 2014, at approximately 1734 hours CDT, Unit 2 automatically scrammed from 100% power on high neutron flux, followed by a Group I containment isolation. Following the Group I isolation, the control room operators noted that the position indication for valve 2B21-F022C, the inboard 2C Main Steam Isolation Valve (MSIV)[SB], showed dual indication rather than full closed.

Troubleshooting of the 2C MSIV determined that the valve stem disk had separated from the stem, which allowed the main disk to drop into the main steam flow path. The resulting reactor pressure transient added positive reactivity, which caused the high neutron flux scram. Increased steam flow in the other three main steam lines resulted in a nearly simultaneous high main steam line flow Group I containment isolation.

This occurrence is reportable under 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(iv)(A) as an event that resulted in the automatic actuation of any of the systems listed in 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(iv)(B). An ENS report was made to the NRC (EN# 50346) at 2120 CDT on August 5, 2014, pursuant to 10CFR 50.72(b)(2)(iv)(B) and 50.72(b)(3)(iv)(A).

This event constitutes an unplanned scram with complications in accordance with NEI 99-02, Revision 7.

C. CAUSE OF EVENT:

The cause of the stem-disk separation on the 2C MSIV was fretting wear attributable to marginal design. A formal root cause investigation was conducted, which determined that the root cause of the event was a legacy decision made in 2008.

The vulnerability of the Rockwell International MSIV to stem-disk separation was a known issue. In 1989, Rockwell developed an "MSIV Improvement Package" with a more robust stem-disk design configuration. The station initially planned to install this upgrade on all 16 MSIVs (two Units with four inboard and four outboard MSIVs each); however, based upon the results of inspections performed on several MSIVs, the upgraded design was installed on only seven before it was decided to defer the remaining nine installations until additional corrective maintenance work was required. This decision was made in 2008 using the Operational and Technical Decision Making (OTDM) process.

NRC FORM 366A

(02-2014)

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) CONTINUATION SHEET

1. FACILITY NAME	2. DOCKET	6	. LER NUMBER	3. PAGE			
LaSalla County Station Unit 9	05000374	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REV NO.	0	OF	2
LaSalle County Station, Unit 2		2014	- 001 -	00	3	OF	J

NARRATIVE

D. SAFETY ANALYSIS:

The safety significance of this event was minimal. A reactor scram with closure of the MSIVs is an analyzed event. Reactor pressure control was maintained using reactor core isolation cooling and the safety relief valves. Reactor level control was maintained with the feedwater system initially and then with use of the Low Pressure Core Spray (LPCS) system. High pressure core spray was operable throughout the event. The normal heat sink through the main condenser could have been re-established by resetting the Group I containment isolation signal and opening the MSIVs in one main steam line. The main turbine bypass valves could then be opened as necessary to transfer decay heat to the main condenser.

E. CORRECTIVE ACTIONS:

- The upgraded design was installed in the four remaining Unit 2 inboard MSIVs. This was completed in August 2014 during the forced outage following the event.
- The upgraded design will be installed in the five remaining Unit 1 MSIVs that still have the vulnerable stemdisk assembly.
- Previous decisions that used the OTDM process to defer installation of a configuration change intended to mitigate High and Medium consequence issues will be reviewed using the Nuclear Risk Management Process implemented on 7/9/14. The Nuclear Risk Management Process is a consistent process to evaluate and manage risk across a broad range of potential risks including the Operational Decision Making process (former OTDM process). This process addresses issues identified in the root cause investigation associated with the 2008 decision to defer the upgraded design for the MSIVs. Those issues included assessment of degradation rates and the review and verification that input data for decision making is complete and accurate.

F. PREVIOUS OCCURRENCES:

There have been no previous occurrences of an MSIV stem-disk separation at LaSalle.

G. COMPONENT FAILURE DATA:

Rockwell - Edward Flite Flow Balanced Stop Valve, 26 inch, Model 1612